Effect of Spironolactone on Exercise Tolerance and Arterial Function in Older Adults with Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction

Background:

- Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), a condition whose prevalence is increasing and prognosis is worsening, is largely found in older women, in whom 90% of new HF cases are HFpEF.
- Exercise intolerance is the primary chronic symptom, and previous trials have shown aldosterone antagonism (with spironolactone) may positively benefit this aspect of the disease as well as potentially improving other symptoms.

Objective:

• This study was performed to evaluate the effects of aldosterone antagonism (specifically spironolactone) on exercise intolerance in older adults with HFpEF.

Methods:

- Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, prospective study over 9 months
- 80 patients enrolled (42 spironolactone, 38 placebo)
 - Inclusion: HFpEF (defined below), clinical HF NHANES score ≥3, disease verification by a board-certified cardiologist
 - HFpEF history or S&S of HF, preserved LVEF ≥50%, and no evidence of other medical conditions mimicking HF symptoms
 - Exclusion: aldosterone antagonist use within 3 months, known CI, concomitant K-sparing diuretics, concomitant K supplements, baseline K >5.0mEq/L, baseline SCr ≥2.5mg/dL
- Spironolactone 25mg daily or matching placebo
 - o Spironolactone 12.5mg daily if SCr ≥2.0mg/dL or K >4.5mEq/L
- Primary outcomes: exercise performance (peak VO₂), quality of life (MLHFQ score)
- Secondary outcomes: aortic distensibility, LV structure and function, carotid artery stiffness, pulse wave velocity, LV diastolic filling
- 60 patients needed for 94% power (5% change in peak VO₂) and 90% power (20% change in MLFHQ score)

Results:

- 71 patients completed the trial (37 spironolactone, 34 placebo)
- Findings:

		Spironolactone	,	Placebo				Diastolic measures		Mean ± Standard Deviation					P-Value
	Baseline	4 Months	Final	Baseline	4 Months	Final		Ea, cm/s Lateral Sental	7.0 ± 2.1	7.0 ± 2.1	7.4 ± 2.2	6.8 ± 1.4	6.8 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 1.6	.36
Factor	Mean ± Standard Deviation P-Value							Early deceleration time, ms E, cm/s	235 ± 57 80 ± 19	242 ± 59 78 ± 21	258 ± 76 78 ± 21	240 ± 55 81 ± 22	238 ± 55 83 ± 21	249 ± 62 80 ± 22	32 .45
Peak exercise (bike)	1.141 ± 308	1.146 ± 385	1.167 ± 384	1.152 ± 338	1.180 ± 369	1.202 ± 383	37	Atrial mitral flow velocity, cm/s Early:atrial mitral flow velocity ratio	88 ± 20 0.91 ± 0.2	87 ± 19 0.89 ± 0.2	89 ± 19 0.86 ± 0.2	90 ± 19 0.92 ± 0.3	90 ± 18 0.95 ± 0.3	89 ± 17 0.90 ± 0.3	.90 .16
Indexed VO2, mL/kg per minute Time, minutes	$13.5 \pm 2.9 \\ 8.1 \pm 3.0$	$13.6 \pm 3.5 \\ 8.5 \pm 2.9$	$13.8 \pm 3.2 \\ 8.4 \pm 3.1$	$13.3 \pm 2.9 \\ 7.9 \pm 3.1$	13.5 ± 3.4 8.2 ± 3.1	13.9 ± 3.7 8.3 ± 3.0	.38 .76	Lateral Septal	12.2 ± 4.5 13.3 ± 4.2	12.1 ± 4.9 14.0 ± 5.2	11.2 ± 4.6 13.3 ± 5.6	12.5 ± 4.3 13.9 ± 5.4	12.5 ± 3.7 14.0 ± 4.5	12.6 ± 4.2 13.8 ± 5.0	.17
Workload, watts Heart rate, beats per minute	63 ± 26 122 ± 23	67 ± 26 121 ± 25	68 ± 26 124 ± 27	60 ± 26 119 ± 17	64 ± 27 118 ± 20	66 ± 25 118 ± 19	.85	Left ventricular and arterial functional measures	Mean ± Standard Deviation						P-Value
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg Pulse pressure	83 ± 12 98 + 19	76 ± 9 94 + 15	78 ± 9 98 + 17	81 ± 9 104 + 21	80 ± 12 101 + 20	103 ± 20 82 ± 10 101 ± 23	<.001	Left ventricular function (CMRI)		106 ± 45	124 + 20	121	- 24	120 + 25	66
Respiratory rate, bpm Oxygen pulse, mL/beat	32 ± 7 9.4 ± 2.1	32 ± 6 9.5 ± 2.5	33 ± 7 9.6 ± 3.0	35 ± 8 9.8 ± 3.0	35 ± 6 10.2 ± 3.5	35 ± 9 10.4 ± 3.8	.94	Massend diastolic volume ratio End diastolic volume, mL		1.8 ± 0.4 73 ± 21	1.7 ± 0.4 73 ± 20	1.7 =	= 0.5 = 20	1.6 ± 0.4 81 ± 22	23
VCO ₂ , mL/min VE, L/min	1,278 ± 394 41 ± 13	1,338 ± 471 44 ± 17	1,381 ± 485 46 ± 17	1,281 ± 402 41 ± 12	1,383 ± 454 43 ± 13	1,363 ± 423 43 ± 13	.81 .40	End systolic volume, mL Stroke volume, mL		29 ± 10 45 ± 14	28 ± 8 45 ± 15	28 ±	E 7 E 17	29 ± 8 52 ± 18	28 .14
Respiratory exchange ratio VE/VCO ₂ slope	1.11 ± 0.10 31 ± 6	1.16 ± 0.12 31 ± 5	1.17 ± 0.12 32 ± 7	1.12 ± 0.10 31 ± 5	1.17 ± 0.09 30 ± 4	1.15 ± 0.10 30 ± 4	.48 .04	Ejection fraction, % Aortic function (CMRI)		61 ± 7	62 ± 8	63 :	. 8	64 ± 7	,43
Ventilatory anaerobic threshold, mL/min	683 ± 167	703 ± 206	669 ± 162	719 ± 175	725 ± 205	708 ± 182	.47	Phasic area change, mm ⁻ Distensibility, 10 ⁻³ /mmHg Antic compliance, mm ²		44 ± 28 0.88 ± 0.45 0.72 ± 0.42	59 ± 45 1.20 ± 0.86 1.03 ± 0.95	0.82	0.48	54 ± 31 1.02 ± 0.67 1.91 ± 0.71	.39
6-minute walk distance, feet Minnesota Living with Heart Failure	1,377 ± 247 Questionnaire so	1,508 ± 204 ore	1,430 ± 263	1,361 ± 261	1,419 ± 276	1,426 ± 284	.96	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg		139 ± 18 76 ± 11	134 ± 15 74 ± 8	141 -	= 20 = 10	143 ± 19 80 ± 10	.05
Emotional Physical	5 ± 5 16 ± 11	4 ± 4 15 ± 10	4 ± 4 14 ± 9	4 ± 4 13 ± 10	4 ± 5 14 ± 11	3 ± 4 11 ± 9	.60 .88	Pulse pressure, mmHg Pulse pressure/stroke volume		62 ± 12 1.46 ± 0.43	60 ± 12 1.44 ± 0.43	62 ±	16 0.48	63 ± 14 1.29 ± 0.36	24 29
Total Neurohormones	32 ± 21	29 ± 20	29 ± 18	28 ± 19	29 ± 23	25 ± 18	.81	Arterial function (ultrasound) Carotid arterial compliance, 10 ⁻³ /mm	Hg	0.49 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.05	0.48	E 0.06	147 ± 0.05	.68
Aldosterone B-type natriuretic peptide	9.1 ± 6.0 55 ± 46	17.6 ± 9.1 55 ± 42	17.2 ± 8.6 58 ± 44	10.0 ± 9.0 61 ± 50	10.1 ± 7.5 54 ± 38	9.7 ± 5.3 55 ± 46	<.001	Carotid artenal distensionity, 10 7m Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity,	mmys 1	1.81 ± 0.20 ,142 ± 118	1,137 ± 123	1,195	E 68 1	216 ± 142	.68

- Exercise Performance: no significant difference
 - Spironolactone -0.4 mL/kg/min (95% CI, -1.1-0.4mL/kg/min, P = 0.38)
- Quality of Life: no significant difference (P = 0.81)
- LV Structure and Function: no significant difference
- Arterial Function: no significant difference
- Neurohormones: significant difference with aldosterone, not with BNP
- Spironolactone 17.2 +/- 8.6 vs. 9.7 +/- 5.3 ng/dL Placebo (P < 0.001)
 Blood Pressure: significant difference for both SBP and DBP
 - SBP (P = 0.04), DBP (P < 0.001)
- Authors concluded that in older adults with stable compensated HFpEF, 9 months of spironolactone 25mg daily was well tolerated and reduced BP but did not improve exercise capacity, QoL, LV mass, or arterial stiffness.

Strengths:

- Employed a study sample that more accurately reflects HFpEF in the population
- Utilized CMRI over Echo due to its increased sensitivity
- Strong study design with adequate power achieved

Limitations:

- Failed to include patients with more severe disease (higher BNP, decompensated HF, other comorbidities that contribute to exercise intolerance)
- Type II error was possible given the noticeable difference in treatment effect despite the nonsignificance calculated
- Only provided power for the two primary outcomes but did not address the myriad of secondary outcomes assessed
- Study duration may not be adequate to determine long-term benefit
- Treatment groups had a noticeable difference in baseline characteristics despite nonsignificance calculated
- Relatively small study confined to one specific location

Conclusions:

- Aligning with other published studies, spironolactone has not shown benefit in reducing exercise intolerance. However, it does benefit blood pressure to a lesser extent which can positively impact the progression of heart failure. It is possible that use over a time period much longer than 9 months could result in a slowing of the major chronic symptoms of the disease and even the progression of the disease itself.
- In current practice, spironolactone could be considered in patients with HFpEF and a blood pressure above goal as long as it is tolerated and does not prevent the use of other, more necessary medications.

Eric Kinney, PharmD Candidate 2018 1/23/2018